1	1 WO		
2	2		
3	3		
4	4		
5	5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
8	8		
9	9 United States of America,) CR 09-00031-3	3-PHX-SRB	
10	10 Plaintiff, DETENTION	ORDER	
11	11 vs.		
12	12 Jimmy Jack Lopez,		
13	Defendant.		
14			
15	15		
16	On August 30, 2011, defendant Jimmy Jack Lopez appeared before this Court on a		
17	petition for revocation of supervised release. The Court considered the information provided		
18	to the Court, and the arguments of counsel in determining whether the defendant should be		
19	released on conditions set by the Court.		
20	The Court finds that the defendant, having previously b	The Court finds that the defendant, having previously been convicted and placed on	
21	21 supervised release, and having appeared before the Court in	supervised release, and having appeared before the Court in connection with a petition to	
22	revoke his supervised release, has failed to establish by clear	revoke his supervised release, has failed to establish by clear and convincing evidence that	
23	he is not likely to flee or pose a danger to the safety of the co	he is not likely to flee or pose a danger to the safety of the community if released pursuant	
24	to Rule 46(d), and Rule 32.1(a)(6), Federal Rules of Crimin	to Rule 46(d), and Rule 32.1(a)(6), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and 18 U.S.C.	
25	25 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
26	26 //		
27			
28	28 //		
	II		

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant be detained pending further proceedings.
2	DATED this 31st day of August, 2011.
3	1111
4	James F. Metcalf
5	United States Magistrate Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	